Application No. **09/511,447**

Applicant(s)

ELWORTHY

Interview Summary Examiner

- . . - - -

Patrick N.Edouard

Art Unit **2654**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Patrick N.Edouard	(3)
(2) Carole Quiin	(4)
Date of Interview Mar 28, 2003	-
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) X No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or	
any other comments:	Tildlife of what was agreed to it all agreement was reached, or
	that he/she was supposed to receive in replacement of the
prior Office Action that was incomplete since claim 6 rejection was missing from the Office Action . Examiner agreed to	
send another Office Action and also to restart the time period to reply.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	John ? Sh
	PATRICK N. EDOUARD PATENT EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required